



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,550	06/28/2001	Albert Collinson	BBC-083 A US	6240

7590 08/01/2006

KENNETH P. ZWICKER  
ABBOTT BIORESEARCH CENTER  
100 RESEARCH DRIVE  
WORCESTER, MA 01605

EXAMINER

WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1647

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/894,550

Applicant(s)

COLLINSON ET AL.

Examiner

Cherie M. Woodward

Art Unit

1647

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cherie M. Woodward.

(3) Tara Seshardi.

(2) Brenda Brumback.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 4, 12-31 and 96-104.

Identification of prior art discussed: Schmidt et al., Luger et al., Berg et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 4 and 12-31 had previously been indicated as allowable, but a 103 was sent out over the product claims 96-104 citing Luger, Schmidt, and Berg. Because claim 31 is a product-by-process claim, it appears that the 103 rejection should have also included claim 31. Applicant's representative indicated that Applicants want to pursue the rejected product claims as well as the method claims that were previously indicated as allowable. As such, Applicant will respond after final, the response being due 1 August 2006. The Examiner, in reply to the after-final response, depending on what the after-final response contains, will likely need to withdraw finality and the allowability of at least claim 31.